

**TABLE 1: AGENCIES AND CONSULTING PARTIES**

<b>Role</b>	<b>Federal Agencies</b>	<b>Federal Tribes</b>	<b>Virginia Agencies</b>	<b>Local Agencies / Others</b>
<b>Lead Agency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Federal Highway Administration</li> </ul>	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT)</li> </ul>	N/A
<b>Cooperating Agencies</b>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Environmental Protection Agency</li> <li>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</li> <li>U.S. Coast Guard</li> <li>National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration</li> <li>U.S. Naval Station Norfolk</li> <li>U.S. Dept. of Transportation</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited but have not accepted to date:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>City of Hampton</li> <li>City of Newport News</li> <li>City of Norfolk</li> <li>City of Virginia Beach</li> <li>City of Portsmouth</li> </ul>

Role	Federal Agencies	Federal Tribes	Virginia Agencies	Local Agencies / Others
<p><b>Participating Agencies</b></p>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Federal Transit Administration</li> <li>• National Park Service, Chesapeake Bay Office</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of Environmental Project Review</li> <li>• Federal Emergency Management Agency</li> <li>• Natural Resource Conservation Service</li> <li>• Federal Railroad Administration</li> <li>• U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</li> <li>• Joint Base Langley-Eustis</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Historic Resources</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Aviation</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Health</li> <li>• Virginia Institute of Marine Science</li> <li>• Virginia Outdoors Foundation</li> <li>• Virginia Port Authority</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation</li> <li>• Virginia Marine Resources Commission</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Virginia Department of Environmental Quality</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Forestry</li> <li>• Commonwealth Transportation Board</li> <li>• Virginia Department of Emergency Management</li> <li>• Virginia State Police</li> </ul>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization</li> <li>• Hampton Roads Transportation Accountability Committee</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• City of Suffolk</li> <li>• City of Chesapeake</li> <li>• Isle of Wight County</li> <li>• Hampton University</li> </ul>

<b>Role</b>	<b>Federal Agencies</b>	<b>Federal Tribes</b>	<b>Virginia Agencies</b>	<b>Local Agencies / Others</b>
<b>Federal Partnering Agencies</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Federal Highway Administration</li> <li>• Environmental Protection Agency</li> <li>• National Marine Fisheries Service</li> <li>• U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</li> <li>• U.S. Coast Guard</li> <li>• U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Scoping Agencies</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Elizabeth River Project</li> <li>• Virginia Maritime Association</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chesapeake Bay Foundation</li> <li>• The Nature Conservancy</li> <li>• Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance</li> <li>• Virginia Economic Development Partnership</li> <li>• Virginia Pilot Association</li> </ul>

<p><b>Section 106 Consulting Parties</b></p>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</li> <li>• U.S Coast Guard Base Portsmouth</li> <li>• National Park Service, Chesapeake Bay Office</li> <li>• American Battlefield Protection Program</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date, (*Continue to receive correspondence):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Advisory Council on Historic Preservation <i>(to be invited following Effect Determination, draft Programmatic Agreement)</i></li> <li>• Naval Station Norfolk</li> <li>• National Park Service, Washington –Rochambeau National Historic Trail</li> <li>• *Fort Monroe Authority</li> <li>• *U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs</li> <li>• *Naval Facilities Engineering Command Mid-Atlantic (NAVFAC MIDLANT– EV2)</li> <li>• *National Park Service Fort Monroe National Monument</li> <li>• *Army Caretaker</li> </ul>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Delaware Nation</li> <li>• Pamunkey Indian Tribe</li> </ul>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Virginia Department of Historic Resources (SHPO)</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date (*Continue to receive correspondence):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• *Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation</li> </ul>	<p><b>Accepted:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• African American Historical Society of Portsmouth, Inc.</li> <li>• Buckroe Historical Society</li> <li>• Citizens for a Fort Monroe National Park</li> <li>• Partnership for a New Phoebus, Inc.</li> <li>• Mr. J. Brewer Moore</li> <li>• Norfolk Preservation Alliance</li> <li>• Norfolk Historical Society</li> </ul> <p><b>Invited / have not accepted to date (*Continue to receive correspondence):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• City of Chesapeake</li> <li>• City of Norfolk</li> <li>• City of Hampton</li> <li>• *City of Hampton Community Development Department</li> <li>• City of Newport News</li> <li>• City of Portsmouth</li> <li>• City of Suffolk</li> <li>• Nansemond Indian Tribal Association</li> <li>• The Contraband Historical Society</li> <li>• Afro-American Historical and Genealogical Society Hampton Roads</li> <li>• Suffolk-Nansemond Historical Society</li> <li>• *Hampton University</li> <li>• Norfolk County Historical Society of Chesapeake</li> <li>• Portsmouth Historical Association</li> <li>• *Smith/Packett</li> <li>• *Hampton History Museum</li> </ul>
--	---	---	---	---

**TABLE 2: PUBLIC AND AGENCY MEETINGS**

<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Public or Agencies Involved</b>	<b>Key Topic(s)</b>
Agency Scoping Meeting #1	July 21, 2015	Cooperating and Participating Agencies	VDOT provided the cooperating / participating agencies and others the opportunity to respond to questions asked in VDOT's June 19, 2015 scoping letters, provided input to be considered in the study, and asked questions of VDOT and FHWA regarding the conduct of the study.
Citizen Information Meeting #1	July 21 / 22, 2015	Public	VDOT presented the history and background of the study, introduced the SEIS, and solicited input to inform the refinement of purpose and need, alternatives, and environmental considerations.
Federal Partnering Meeting #1	August 5, 2015	Federal Partnering Agencies/Cooperating Agencies	VDOT briefed the federal partners/cooperating agencies on the proposed methodologies for impact analyses and requested comments on these methodologies prior to the next cooperating agency meeting. VDOT also reported the results of their scoping efforts at the July 2015 Citizen Information Meetings.
Cooperating Agency Meeting (Methodology Concurrence / Purpose and Need)	December 3, 2015	Cooperating Agencies	VDOT addressed the resolution of comments received and briefed FHWA and the cooperating agencies on comments received on the methodology documents. VDOT also briefed the federal agencies on the draft purpose and need and requested comments prior to the next meeting.
Cooperating Agency Meeting (Purpose and Need Concurrence / Alternatives Retained for Analysis)	January 12, 2016	Cooperating Agencies	VDOT addressed the resolution of comments received and briefed FHWA and the cooperating agencies on comments received on the purpose and need. The parties have concurred with the purpose and need at this time. VDOT also briefed the federal agencies on comments received during the scoping period that may address the need for the inclusion of any additional alternatives beyond the three alternatives retained for analysis in the original EIS. VDOT provided materials to support this discussion and request comments on these alternatives prior to the next meeting.
Cooperating Agency Meeting (Alternatives Retained for Analysis)	February 29, 2016	Federal Partnering Agencies	VDOT briefed FHWA and the cooperating agencies on input received on the alternatives to be retained for analysis. VDOT provided a recommendation and supporting documentation of the alternatives to be retained for analysis in the Draft SEIS.

**TABLE 2: PUBLIC AND AGENCY MEETINGS**

<b>Meeting</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Public or Agencies Involved</b>	<b>Key Topic(s)</b>
Cooperating Agency Meeting (Review of Alternatives)	April 7, 2016	Cooperating Agencies	VDOT briefed FHWA and the cooperating agencies on the refinement of alternatives and initial impact estimates. The group identified the need for additional formal meetings prior to the publication of the Draft SEIS and coordination occurred more informally between different agencies.
Citizen Information Meeting #2	December 3, 2015	Public	VDOT provided the public with an overview of alternatives that have been retained for analysis in the SEIS. VDOT sought input on these alternatives and alternatives not retained for analysis with respect to how they meet the purpose and need and the potential for impacts to the natural and human environment.
Cooperating Agency Meeting (Review Pre-Draft SEIS)	June/July 2016	Cooperating Agencies	VDOT held meetings/conference calls with FHWA and the cooperating agencies to discuss the status of the pre-Draft SEIS. Portions of the document were provided to the cooperating agencies for preliminary review and comment during this timeframe.
Location Public Hearing	September 7 / 8, 2016	Public	Presentation of Draft SEIS.
Cooperating Agency Meeting (Preliminary LEDPA)	October 2016	Cooperating Agencies	VDOT briefed FHWA and cooperating agencies on comments received on the Draft SEIS. Prior to the meeting, FWHA and VDOT made a preliminary Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative (LEDPA) recommendation to USACE, copying the other cooperating agencies. This communication included all relevant data necessary to support the recommendation. During the meeting, VDOT further explained this recommendation, answered questions from the cooperating agencies, and set a schedule for a written response from USACE.
Commonwealth Transportation Board (CTB) Briefing	October 2016	VDOT	VDOT briefed the CTB on the findings of the SEIS, input from the public, and coordination with USACE on the preliminary LEDPA.
CTB Meeting	November 2016	VDOT	VDOT sought an endorsement of a preferred alternative from the CTB to be documented in the Final SEIS.
Cooperating Agency Meeting (Review of Pre-Final SEIS)	February 2017	Cooperating Agencies	VDOT held a conference call with FHWA and the cooperating agencies to discuss the status of the pre-Final SEIS. Portions of the document were provided to the cooperating agencies for preliminary review.

**TABLE 3: KEY COORDINATION POINTS**

<b>Coordination Point</b>	<b>Approximate Date of Coordination</b>	<b>Public or Agencies Involved</b>	<b>Coordination Required (roles and responsibilities)</b>	<b>Method Used for Coordination</b>	<b>Coordination Timeframe</b>
Notice of Intent (NOI) for EIS	June 26, 2015	VDOT and FHWA	None - Notification	Federal Register notice	Immediate
Project Initiation and Early Scoping Activities	July 19, 2015	Cooperating and Participating Agencies	Agency response to cooperating or participating agency invitation; Comments on scope of issues in Draft SEIS	Agency Scoping Meeting Agency Scoping Information Packet	By July 29, 2015
		Public	Comments on scope of issues included in Draft SEIS	Citizen Information Meeting #1 and #2 Project Website	CIM + 30 Days
Environmental Analysis Methodologies	September 2015	Lead / Cooperating and Federal Partnering Agencies	Comments on environmental analysis methodologies approach	Agency Meeting	15 Days
			Agency dispute resolution*		15 Days**
Property Owner Notification	August 28, 2015	Potentially affected / adjacent property owners	Response from owners as needed	Letter	15 Days
Purpose and Need	July 21, 2015	Cooperating and Participating Agencies	Agency response to cooperating or participating agency invitation; Comments on scope of issues in Draft SEIS	Agency Scoping Meeting Agency Scoping Information Packet	By July 29, 2015
		Public	Comments on purpose and need elements	Citizen Information Meeting #1 and #2 Project Website	CIM + 30 Days
	December 3, 2015	Lead / Cooperating Agencies	Comment on and concurrence	Agency meetings	30 Days
			Agency dispute resolution*		15 Days**

**TABLE 3: KEY COORDINATION POINTS**

<b>Coordination Point</b>	<b>Approximate Date of Coordination</b>	<b>Public or Agencies Involved</b>	<b>Coordination Required (roles and responsibilities)</b>	<b>Method Used for Coordination</b>	<b>Coordination Timeframe</b>
Range of Alternatives	December 2015	Cooperating and Participating Agencies	Comments on Range of Alternatives and Alternatives Retained	Letter; Project Website, Agency meetings and Citizen Information Meeting #3 and #4	30 Days
		Public	Comments on Range of Alternatives	Project Website Citizen Information Meeting #3 and #4	30 Days
Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement	June / July 2016	Cooperating Agencies	Pre-Draft SEIS Comments	Letters or Emails	30 days
	July 2016	Cooperating and Participating Agencies	Draft SEIS Comments	Letters	45 days
		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Section 309 Review and Rating	Letter	45 days
		Public	Draft SEIS Comments	Notice of Availability in the Federal Register Location Public Hearing Emails Project Website Letters / Written Comments	45 days
Preferred Alternative	December 2016	Cooperating Agencies Commonwealth Transportation Board Public	Comments on Preferred Alternative Identification of Preferred Alternative	Emails, News Releases Meeting	30 days 60 days
		Lead / Cooperating Agencies	Agency dispute resolution*		15 Days**



**TABLE 3: KEY COORDINATION POINTS**

<b>Coordination Point</b>	<b>Approximate Date of Coordination</b>	<b>Public or Agencies Involved</b>	<b>Coordination Required (roles and responsibilities)</b>	<b>Method Used for Coordination</b>	<b>Coordination Timeframe</b>
Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement	March 2017	Cooperating Agencies	Comments on Pre-Final SEIS Comments	Letters or Emails	30 days
	May 2017	Public Cooperating and Participating Agencies	Notification	Notice of Availability in Federal Register Project Website	Immediate
		Lead / Cooperating Agencies	Agency dispute resolution*		15 Days**
Record of Decision (ROD)	August 2017	Cooperating and Participating Agencies	None-Notification	Notice of Availability Letters	No less than 30 days from Final EIS
		Public	None-Notification	Notice of Availability Project Website	No less than 30 days from Final SEIS
Post-ROD permits, licenses, or approvals	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

\*Dispute Resolution - Parties will attempt to resolve any disputes through good faith negotiations between staff. If the dispute cannot be resolved within five business days of the initiation of negotiations at the staff level, then, the dispute shall be elevated to the "Tier 1 Dispute Resolution Board". If a dispute remains unresolved for more than five business days following referral to the Tier 1 Dispute Resolution Board, then the dispute shall be elevated to the "Tier 2 Dispute Resolution Board". These boards are comprised of representatives appointed by FHWA, VDOT, and the other cooperating agencies.

\*\*Assumed total time to resolve dispute(s)

**TABLE 4: SECTION 106 CONSULTATION**

<b>Coordination Point</b>	<b>Approximate Date of Coordination</b>	<b>Public or Agencies Involved</b>	<b>Method Used for Coordination</b>	<b>Coordination Required</b>	<b>Coordination Timeframe</b>
Definition of Undertaking	July 10, 2015	Virginia Department of Historic Resources	Letter	Initiate Section 106 Consultation	Immediate
Identification of Potential Consulting Parties	July 17, 2015	Consulting Parties	Letters	Invitation to potential Consulting Parties	30 days
Scoping Identification of Historic Properties	February, 16 2016	Virginia Department of Historic Resources	Letter Field Meeting with DHR	Identification of Area of Potential Effect Comments on identification approach and anticipated Section 106 process	30 days
	February, 16 2016	Virginia Department of Historic Resources Other Consulting Parties	Letters	Comments on inventory of potential historic properties	30 days
	October 2016	Public	Citizens Information Meeting #2	Comments on inventory of potential historic properties	CIM + 30 days
Identification of Historic Properties	April 1, 2016	Virginia Department of Historic Resources	Letter	Concurrence on National Register eligibility determinations	30 days
	April 1, 2016	Other Consulting Parties	Letters	Comments on National Register eligibility determinations	30 days
	September 2016	Public	Project Website Location Public Hearing	Comments on National Register eligibility determinations	45 Days
Section 106 Effect Determinations	September 2016	Public	Project Website Location Public Hearing	Comments regarding proposed effect determinations	45 days
	December 2016	Virginia Department of Historic Resources	Letter	Concurrence on effect determinations for Preferred Alternative	30 days
		Other Consulting Parties	Letters	Comments on effect determinations for Preferred Alternative	30 days

**TABLE 4: SECTION 106 CONSULTATION**

<b>Coordination Point</b>	<b>Approximate Date of Coordination</b>	<b>Public or Agencies Involved</b>	<b>Method Used for Coordination</b>	<b>Coordination Required</b>	<b>Coordination Timeframe</b>
Resolution of Effects / Programmatic Agreement (PA)	February 2017	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	Letter	Response from Advisory Council regarding participation in process	30 days
	March 2017	Virginia Department of Historic Resources	Letter Meeting among signatories, if needed	Comments on PA Signatures on PA from signatories	60 days
		Other Consulting Parties	Letters	Comments on draft PA Signatures on PA from concurring parties	60 days
	May 2017	Public	FEIS Project Website	Notification of PA	Immediate